

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

Analysis and Design of Sports Complex using ETABS

Sachin.P.Dyavappanavar¹,Maheshkumar.V.S², Abhishek G J³, Akshata R K⁴, Chethan G N⁵, Harsha N S⁶, Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Jain Institute of Technology, Davanagere, Karnataka, India¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Jain Institute of Technology, Davanagere, Karnataka, India²

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Jain Institute of Technology, Davanagere, Karnataka, India³

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Jain Institute of Technology, Davanagere, Karnataka, India⁴

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Jain Institute of Technology, Davanagere, Karnataka, India⁵

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Jain Institute of Technology, Davanagere, Karnataka, India⁶

ABSTRACT: The design process of structural planning and design requires not only imagination and conceptual thinking but also sound knowledge of science of structural engineering besides the knowledge of practical aspects. The purpose of standards is to ensure and enhance the safety, keeping careful balance between economy and safety. In the present study G+1 building is designed (Slabs, Beams, Columns and Footings) ETAB's software. In order to design them, it is important to first obtain the plan of the particular building that is, positioning of the particular rooms, that they serve their respective purpose and also suiting to the requirement and comfort of the users. Thereby depending on the suitability; plan layout of beams and the position of columns are fixed. Thereafter, the loads are calculated namely the dead loads, which depend on the unit weight of the materials used (concrete, brick) and the live loads, which according to the code IS:456-2000 and HYSD BARS Fe500 as per IS:875-1987 part II. Safe bearing capacity of soil is adopted as 140KN/m². Footings are designed based on the safe bearing capacity of soil. For designing of columns and beams, it is necessary to know the moments they are subjected to. Designing of slabs depends upon whether it is a one - way or a two way slab, the end conditions and the loading. From the slabs, the loads are transferred to the beam. Thereafter, the loads (mainly shear) from the beams are taken by the columns. Finally, the sections must be checked for all the four components with regard to strength and serviceability.

KEYWORDS: ETABS Software, sports complex, strength, serviceability.

I. INTRODUCTION

A sports complex is a group of sports facilities. The sports facilities are of indoor kind for e.g. table tennis, carom, chess, gymnasium etc. sports are the integral part of the student life so it is the essential requirement of good educational institution. It makes institution reputation higher and also increases the financial status.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

Table 1 Composition of Sports Complex

Facility	Numbers
Table tennis room	1
Gymnasium	1
Chess room	1
Carom room	1
Office room	1
Rest room	4
Store room	1
Toilet	4

Table Tennis

The upper surface of the table, known as the playing surface, shall be rectangular, 2.74 m long and 1.525 m wide, and shall lie in a horizontal plane 76 cm above the floor. Fluorescent or luminescent colours shall not be used anywhere in the playing area.

Gymnasium

Bigger equipment's shall be kept close to the walls. The smaller ones can be placed near the centre of the room. The height of the room must also be taken into consideration. A low ceiling will make it difficult to install equipment. The entryway is another problem. Even if the room is spacious, a small doorway will make it impossible to put large equipment in the room.

Carom

The light shall be hung/fitted at a convenient height above the Carom Board so that when a conical or square shade, preferably of metal, is used, the light shall fall only on the Carom Board including the frame. The shade shall be one with the inner side completely snow-white in colour. The bulb used, preferably transparent white, shall be between 60 and 100 watts. However, the height of the light can be altered at the request of a player in such a manner that the naked light does not strike the eyes of the players.

Table 2 R	loom dimensions			
Table tennis room	24000mm X 15000mm			
Carom room	12000mm X 5600mm			
Gymnasium	24000mm X 15000mm			
Office room	12000mm X 5600mm			
Store room	12000mm X 5600mm			
Chess room	12000mm X 5600mm			
Ladies restroom	4000mm X 7000mm			
Ladies W/C	4000mm X 3000mm			
Gents restroom	4000mm X 7000mm			
Gents W/C	4000mm X 3000mm			



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

II. METHODOLOGY

It is combination of Working Stress Method and Ultimate Load Method, which makes use of optimum material strength and loads by increasing the same with partial safety factors removing the drawbacks of both of the methods but retaining their good points. It is also based on sound scientific principles and backed. By 25 years of research, the Limit State Method has proved to have edge over the Working Stress Method from the economic and strength point of view. Consequently we need not stick to Working Stress Method any more.

1. Limit State of Collapse which deals with strength, overturning, sliding, buckling, fatigue, facture etc.,

2. Limit State of Serviceability which deals with discomfort to occupancy and/or malfunction, caused by excessive, deflection, crack-width, vibration, leakage, etc. and also loss of durability.

Types of load used **Dead loads**

The dead load comprises of the weight of wall partitions floor finishes, false ceiling, false floors and other permanent construction in the buildings. The dead loads may be calculated from the dimensions of various members and their unit weights. The unit weights of the plain concrete and reinforced concrete made with sand and gravel or crushed natural stone aggregate may be taken as 24kN/m³ and 25kN/m³ respectively. (IS 456:2000)

Live loads

Live load is produced by the intended use or occupancy of a building including the weight of movable partition, distributed and concentrated loads, load due to impact and vibration and dust loads. Live loads do not include loads due to wind, seismic activity, snow, and dead load imposed due to temperature changes to which structure will be subjected to, creep and shrinkage of the structure, the differential settlements to which the structure may undergo. (IS 875:1987 part2).

Design codes

Basic codes used for design of structure are published by Indian Standard, New Delhi:

IS 456:2000-Plain and reinforced concrete- code of practice (fourth revision)

Loading standards-The loads to be considered for structural design are specified in the following loading standards:

IS 875(Parts 1-5):1987- code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures (second revision)

Part 1: Dead loads Part 2: Live loads

Table 3 Soil Studies								
Sl.no	Parameters	Unit	1	2	3			
1	Specific gravity	-	2.24	2.34	2.40			
2	Dry density	g/cm ³	1.63	1.79	1.93			
3	Recommended SBC of soil	T/cm ²	14	14	14			

PLAN DETAILS

BUILDING PARAMETERS

Length along x- direct	tion	= 27.38 m
Length along y-direct	ion	= 38.18 m
No of storey	= 2	
Main column	= (230×3	375) mm
Slab thickness	= 150 m	m.

Support co	= Fixed.	
Each store	y height	= 3.5 m
Main beam	= (230	0×350) mm
Wall thickness	= 230	mm



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

MATERIALS PROPERTIES

				Poisson's ratio $\mu = 0.17$ Ultimate yield strength of steel F _y = 250Mpa						
CONCRETE Density of concrete Characteristic strength of conc	crete	= 25 kN/m ³ = 25 Mpa								
Loads assigned Dead Load	III.PLA	=2kN NNING, MODE	ELS AND I	Live Load DESIGNING	=4.0kN					
	III.PLA	NNING, MODE	III.PLANNING, MODELS AND DESIGNING							



Figure 1 Beam-Column Layout of Plan



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017





Fig 3 Axial force diagram



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017





Fig 5 Moment in y direction

Design of slab

- 1. Data Effective length $L_x = 4.23m$ $L_y = 5.23m$ D = 150mm d'= 20mm d = D - d' = 130mm $L_Y/L_x = 1.23 < 2$ Hence design 2 way slab.
- 2. Design moment & shear Self weight = 3.75 kN/m^2 Floor finishing = 1.5 kN/m^2 Live load = 3 kN/m^2 Total load = 8.25 kN/m^2 Factored load = $W_u = 12.37 \text{ kN/m}^2$ Slab iS simply supported on all four sides. Corners are held down by providing torsional reinforcement. Moment coefficients are obtained from IS456 Table No.27 Pg.No.91. $\alpha_x = 0.086 \quad \alpha_y = 0.057$ $M_u = \alpha_x W_u l_x^2 = 19.30 \text{ kN/m}^2$ $M_y = \alpha_y W_u l_x^2 = 12.61 \text{ kN/m}^2$ $V_u = W_u * (r^4/(1+r^4))*(l_x/2) = 18.20 \text{ kN}$



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

3. Design of main reinforcement $M_{\text{ulim}} = 0.133 * f_{ck} * b * d^2 = 56.19 \text{ kN-m} > M_u$ Hence section is under reinforced. Reinforcement in x- direction a. Calculation of Ast $M_u = 0.87 * f_y * A_{st} * d[1 - (A_{st} * f_y)/(f_{ck} * b * d)]$ $A_{st} = 356.02 \text{ mm}^2$ Using 10 mm bars Spacing = { $[(3.142*d^2)/4]/A_{st}$ }*1000 = 200mm Hence provide 10mm bars at 200mm c/c. b. Reinforcement in y-direction d = 120mm $M_u = 0.87 * f_y * A_{st} * d[1 - (A_{st} * f_y)/(f_{ck} * b * d)]$ $A_{st} = 252.16 \text{mm}^2$ Using 10mm bars Spacing = 200mmHence provide 10mm bars at 200mm c/c. 4. Check for shear $\tau_v = V_u/(b*d)$ = 0.14 N/mm² $P_t = (A_{st^*}100)/(b^*d)$ =0.30From IS456-2000 Pg.No.73 Table No19. $\tau_{\rm c} = 0.38 > \tau_{\rm v}$ Hence shear reinforcement is not required. 5. Check for deflection L/d provided = 32.53 Form IS456:2000 Pg.No.40 Basic L/d = 32, $P_t = 0.30$ $f_s = 0.58 * f_v(A_{st} required/A_{st} provided)$ = 262.33 N/mm² From IS456:2000 Pg.No.38 fig.no.4 modification factor for tension reinforcement. $F_1 = 1.4$ L/d max = 32*1.4 = 44.8Thus L/d provided < L/d max Therefore deflection control is satisfactory. 6. Torsional reinforcement at corners Size of the mesh = $l_x/5 = 0.846m$ Therefore provide mesh size = 846mm Area of torsional reinforcement = $0.75*A_{st} = 267.01 \text{mm}^2$ Using 8mm bars Spacing = 188.25mmTherefore provide 8mm mesh of 180mm c/c.

Reinforcement in edge strip $A_{st} = (0.12*b*D)*100 = 180mm^2$ Using 8 mm bars Spacing = 279.25mm Provide 8mm dia bars at 200mm c/c.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

Design of beam

1. Data Breadth of beam b = 230mm Effective depth of beam d = 320mm Over all depth of beam D = 350mm Effective span = 4.23mWidth at supports = 230mm Live load = 3kN/m $f_v = 500 \text{N/mm}^2$ $f_{ck} = 25 \text{N/mm}^2$ 2. Design moment and shear force $M_u = 46.76 \text{kN-m}$ $V_u = 79.36 kN$ $M_{ulimt} = 0.133 * f_{ck} * b * d^2$ = 78.3kN-m $< M_u$ Hence design singly reinforced rectangular section. 3. Calculation of area of steel $M_{u} = 0.87*f_{y}*A_{st}*d[1-(A_{st}*f_{y})/(f_{ck}*b*d)]$ $A_{st} = 373.9 \text{mm}^2$ Provide 6no's of 14mm dia bars = 461.8mm². 4. Shear reinforcement $\tau_v = V_u/(b^*d)$ $= 1.07 \text{N/mm}^2$ $P_t = [A_{st}/(b*d)]*100$ = 0.627From IS 456:2000 Pg.No. 73, Table No. 19 $\tau_{\rm c} = 0.53 < \tau_{\rm v}$ Hence shear reinforcement is to be designed. Shear to be taken by steel reinforcement, $V_{u} = 79.36 \text{kN}$ Shear resisted by concrete $V_c = \tau_c * b * d$ = 39.008kN Shear to be resisted by reinforcements. $V_{us} = V_u - V_c$ = 40.36kN Using 8mm dia 2 legged stirrups $V_{us} = (0.87*f_v*A_{sv}*d)/S_v$ $S_v = 346.72mm$ But maximum spacing permitted is a) 0.75*d = 240mmb) 300mm Hence provide 2 legged 8mm stirrups at 200mm c/c Check for deflection criteria 5. From IS456:2000 Pg.No.37, Clause:23.2.1 Basic = L/d = 26 $P_t = 0.785$ $f_s = 0.58*f_y*(A_{st} \text{ required }/A_{st} \text{ provided})$ = 234.8 N/mm²

From fig.4 in IS456:2000, modification factor for providing tensile steel



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

 $F_1 = 1.3$ From fig.5 in IS456:2000, modification factor for providing steel No compressive steel, $F_2 = 1.00$ Since this is not flanged section. $F_3 = 1.00$ Maximum L/d permitted = $F_1 * F_2 * F_3 * Basic L/d$ = 33.8L/d provided = 13.21 < 33.8 Thus L/d provide < Max L/d permitted. Hence deflection control is satisfactory. **Design of column** 1. Data Size of column (230mm X 375mm) Axial load $P_u = 444.67$ kN Factored moment, $M_{ux} = 16.301$ kN-m $M_{uv} = 48.41$ kN-m $f_{ck}=25N\!/\!mm^2$ $f_v = 500 N/mm^2$ 2. Reinforcement calculation $P_u/(f_{ck}*b*D) = 0.206$ Uniaxial, $M_u = 1.15(M_{ux2}+M_{uy2})^{0.5}$ = 58.74kN-m $M_u/(f_{ck}*b*D^2) = 0.072$ d'/D = 0.11Referring to chart.no.48 in SP:16 $P/f_{ck} = 0.02$ P = 0.5 $A_{sc} = (P/100)*b*D$ $= 431.25 \text{mm}^2$ Provide 4no's of 16mm dia Area provided $= 804 \text{mm}^2$ Actual P = [Area provided/(b*D)]*100= 0.93 $P/f_{ck} = 0.037$ 3. To find M_{ux1} $P/f_{ck} = 0.037$ $P_u/(f_{ck}*b*D) = 0.206$ d'/D = 0.11From chart.no.48 in SP:16 $M_{ux1}/(f_{ck}*b*D^2) = 0.09$ $M_{ux1} = 72.77$ kN-m To find M_{uy1} 4. Since P/f_{ck} , $P_u/(f_{ck}*b*D)$ and $M_u/(f_{ck}*b*D^2)$ are same Hence $M_{uy} = 72.77$ kN-m 5. $P_{uz} = (0.45 * f_{ck} * A_c) + (0.75 * f_v * A_{sc})$ $A_c = (D^*b)$ -area provided $= 85446 \text{mm}^2$ $A_{sc} = 804.25 \text{mm}^2$ $P_{uz} = 1265.76 kN$ 6. To find αn



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

$$\begin{split} P_u / P_{uz} &= 0.351 \\ This is between 0.2 to 0.8 \\ \alpha_n &= 1 + \{ [(P_u / P_{uz}) - 0.2] / 0.6 \} = 1.251 \end{split}$$

- 7. Checking interaction formula $(M_{ux}/M_{ux1})^{\alpha}\alpha_n + (M_{uv}/M_{uv1})^{\alpha}\alpha_n = 0.75 < 1$
- 8. Design of lateral ties Dia of lateral ties, $\phi/4 = 4$ mm Use 8mm dia ties Maximum spacing a. Least lateral dimension = 230mm
 - b. $16*\phi = 256mm$
 - c. 300mm

Provide 8mm dia at 200mm c/c.

IV.EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Table 4 Analysis results of column	Table 5 Analysis results of footing
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Sl No.	Column Name	Numbers of column	Pu (kN)	M _{ux} kN-m	M _{uy} kN-m		Sl No.	Footing Name	Pu (kN)	M _{ux} kN-m	M _{uy} kN-m
1	C1	6	464.36	-13.06	-68.16		1.	F1	464.36	5.45	-2.90
2	C2	8	575.71	16.45	62.04	1	2.	F2	575.71	-6.67	-12.17
3	C3	22	696.43	-21.72	-16.51	1	3.	F3	693.43	6.83	13.44
4	C4	12	754.10	26.51	24.60]	4.	F4	754.10	-7.36	-1.38
5	C5	12	1022.22	-11.16	51.68		5.	F5	1022.22	9.72	-16.41

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this present work ETABS is used to analyse the RC moment resting frame structure of G+1 considering the gravity loads. The following conclusion is drawn from the present work,

- 1. G+1 sports complex plan has been drawn in Auto CAD Software and analysed using ETABS software.
- 2. The results obtained from ETABS software are validated manually by using code book IS456:2000.
- 3. Manual design has been done for different structural elements (Beam, slabs, columns, footing, and staircase) as per IS456:2000.
- 4. By designing a sports complex for the Jain Institute of technology it will help in overall development of the institution.

REFERENCES

- 1) E.U. Onweremadu, C. Ehumadu, C.S. Elemike, S.U. Onwudike, C.M. Idigbor and B.U. Dike "Geotechnical Properties of Soils as Influenced by Land Use in a Humid Environment". Iranica Journal of Energy & Environment 2, June 2011.
- Dilip N Londhe "A study of sports facilities in the colleges of nashik city". Journal Of Humanities And Social Science. Volume 10, (Mar. -Apr. 2013), PP 43-46.
- Alice T V, Harisankar S, Jithin U K, Soorya S R, Sukanya Krishnan "Planning and analysis of an arched indoor stadium". Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications. Vol. 4, April 2014.
- Abhay Guleria "Structural Analysis of a Multi-Storeyed Building using ETABS for different Plan Configurations". International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. Vol. 3, May – 2014.
- 5) V Varalakshmi,G Shiva Kumar, R Sunil Sarma "Analysis and design of g+5 residential building". Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering. 2014.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

- 6) Balaji U A, Mr. Selvarasan M E - "Design and analysis of multistoreyed building under static and dynamic loading conditions using etabs".International Journal of Technical Research and Applications. Volume 4, (July-Aug, 2016), PP. 1-5.
- Ashok K Jain "R C C Designs", 10th edition, Laxmi publications (P) LTD, ISBN 978-81-318-0942-6. 7)
- Code books IS 456-2000, SP-16, IS 875. 8)
- S S Bhavikatti " Design of RCC structural elements", volume-1, New age international (P) LTD, publishers, ISBN 81-224-169-4. 9)
- B C Punmia "Soil mechanics and foundations",16th edition, Laxmi publications (P) LTD, ISBN 81-7008-791-0.
 N Krishna Raju- "Design of Reinforced concrete structures" 3rd edition, CBS publishers and distributors, ISBN 81-239-0989-6.